Special Topics Presentation on Electron Sources – Part 1

Joe Grames, Jefferson Lab October 15, 2012

(with many thanks for slides borrowed from Carlos-Hernandez Garcia, Matt Poelker, Dave Dowell)

Old Dominion University, Fall 2012

Electron emission in Nature

- Historically, electron emission musings go back to the Greek philosophers, who while rubbing pieces of amber (elektron in Greek) with fur noticed short flashes of light (sparks) jumping from the amber to the piece of silk.
- Effectively, a lighting strike is like a giant spark, generated when electrons 'jump' from the ground to the positively charged clouds.

Electron emission with a Purpose

 Electron <u>emission</u> is the process in which electrons bound in a solid, are released and leave the surface of the solid.

 The process is statistical, as only those electrons with sufficient momentum component normal to the surface may overcome the surface barrier potential.

Why do accelerators need a particle source?

- Before being accelerated, the particles need to be generated first.
- Particles can be protons, ions, positrons, electrons, etcetera, depending on the specific accelerator application.
- The generation, or extraction mechanism, will depend on the desired type of particle and on the required beam characteristics.

Four essential ingredients are required to generate an electron beam

The cathode, a material from which the electrons are extracted.	
A source of energy to to excite electrons above the cathode's work function.	
An accelerating electric field to form a collimated beam (DC or oscillating) and overcome mutual Coulomb repulsion.	INFN superconducting injector
A vacuum environment to prevent electron scattering by gas molecules and to preserve cathode chemistry	

Electron microscopes

- In devices such as electron microscopes the electron beam is only a few uA of direct current (DC), emitted with very little spread (emittance) at ~100 keV.
- Field emission from sharp (nm-size radius) metal needle cathodes is a typical choice, although many lower voltage devices (lower resolution) use thermionic cathodes.
- Required field at the tip for emission are in the order of Giga-Volts per meter.
- Tips are susceptible to contaminants (Carbon) and are easily damaged by overcurrent.







X-ray sources



Modern X-Ray Sources



Higher Voltage.... More penetrating x-ray beam Higher e-beam current..... Higher x-ray flux





Courtesy Varian

Klystrons—microwave generators

- Klystrons use a DC electron beam at a few mA to generate/amplify microwaves by velocity modulation.
- Klystrons use thermionic cathodes to generate the required electron beam.





When electron beams need to be generated in pulses for accelerators

- The given examples so far use DC electron beams
- Most electron accelerators require the beam to be comprised of a train of electron pulses. In each pulse, there is a certain number of electrons with collective charge in the range of a few pC to a few nC. Each group of electrons is called an electron bunch.
- The rate at which the electron bunches are generated is called the pulse repetition rate.



As a point-like probe in the form of <u>Polarized</u> electrons for High Energy and Nuclear Physics research





Stanford Linear Accelerator

As a source in the form of <u>Un-polarized</u> electrons for producing IR to X-ray photons in machines like Storage Rings, Synchrotrons and Free Electron Lasers



Four essential ingredients are required to generate an electron beam



Electron emission from metals

- In metals, electrons in the outer atom shells are not bound to a particular atom, rather they are in continuous motion "hopping" from atom to atom, but still bound to the metal surface.
- In some sense the electron can be considered as a "Free Electron Gas" in which the atomic cores are immersed in a sea of conduction electrons.



Why metals reflect light so well?

The free electron Fermi gas

 The Fermi energy is defined as the energy of the topmost filled level in the ground state of the N electron system, at absolute zero.

$$E_F = \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{2m} \left(3\pi^2 N_e \right)^{2/3}$$

 What happens when the temperature is increased? The Fermi-Dirac distribution gives the probability that an electron state of energy E will be occupied at thermal equilibrium with temperature T.



The free electron Fermi gas

 The density of states describes the distribution of possible states in the solid per unit volume:

$$\rho(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{h^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} E^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

 The number of electrons per unit volume, <u>which is</u> <u>the baseline for</u> <u>calculating electron</u> <u>emission.</u>

$$N_e = \int_0^\infty \rho(E) f(E,T) dE$$

The free electron Fermi gas

As the temperature is increased, more and more electrons gain energies higher than the Fermi energy and higher probability to escape into the vacuum level.

The vacuum level is defined as the distance at which an electron is sufficiently far from the metal surface that its image charge is negligible (more than 100 Angstroms)

The work function Φ is defined as the difference in potential energy of an electron between the vacuum level and the Fermi level.



The canonical emission equations

(slide courtesy of Dr. K. Jensen, Naval Research Laboratory)







Field Emission

E.L. Murphy, and R.H. Good, Physical Review 102, 1464 (1956).

$$J_{FN}(F) = A_{FN}F^2 \exp\left(-\frac{B\Phi^{3/2}}{F}\right)$$

Thermal emission Richardson-Laue-Dushman

C. Herring, and M. Nichols, Reviews of Modern Physics 21, 185 (1949).

$$J_{RLD}(T) = A_{RLD}T^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\Phi}{k_B T}\right)$$

Photoemission Fowler-Dubridge

L.A. DuBridge Physical Review 43, 0727 (1933).

$$J_{MFD}(\lambda) = \frac{q}{\hbar\omega} (1-R) F_{\lambda}(\omega) \{\hbar\omega - \Phi\}^2 I_{\lambda}$$

Listed chronologically

Field Emission

High field and low temperature

Fowler-Nordheim E.L. Murphy, and R.H. Good, Physical Review 102, 1464 (1956).

- In Field Emission, the electrostatic field (F) at the metal surface is so high it thins the potential barrier.
- Electrons with energies below the Fermi level with momentum component perpendicular to the metal surface have then a finite probability to tunnel through the thinned potential barrier, with current density J(F).
- Field Emission occurs when the field is >1 GV/m, typically in submicron size metallic tips.



Thermal Emission

High temperature and low field

Richardson-Laue-Dushman

C. Herring, and M. Nichols, Reviews of Modern Physics 21, 185 (1949).

- In thermal emission, electrons gain sufficient thermal energy to overcome the vacuum level. Those electrons with momentum component perpendicular to the metal surface have a finite probability of being emitted with current density J(T).
- In thermionic emission, application of an external field lowers the metal work function. This is known as the Schottky enhancement factor.

$$\phi_{effective} = \phi_{metal} - \phi_{Schottky}$$
$$\phi_{Schottky} = e_{\sqrt{\frac{eE_{applied}}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}}}$$



 Φ = Work function, ~4-5 eV

Photoemission Low temperature and low field

Fowler-Dubridge L.A. DuBridge, Physical Review 43, 0727 (1933).

- Photoemission occurs in metals when the incident photon energy exceeds the work function.
- The current density J depends on the photon flux, absorption and wavelength, as well as scattering and emission probability mechanisms.
- Photoemission is a three step process



Photoemission from intrinsic semiconductors

- E_{VB} = Valence band
- E_{CB} = Conduction band
- E_{vac} = Vacuum level
- E_F = Fermi level
- χ = Electron affinity, typically around 4 eV



 $\chi = E_{Vac} - E_{CB}$ Electron affinity ~ 4 eV for GaAs $\varphi = E_{Vac} - E_F$ Work function

Reducing the Work Function



Doping adds additional energy states in the gap, adjusting the Fermi level in the bulk, pulling E_c , E_v and vacuum level at surface (forming so-called band bending region)... The three components of Photoemission process (Spicer model, 1958) (slide courtesy of Dr. K. Jensen, Naval Research Laboratory)

1. <u>**Absorption**</u> of light in bulk material and photoexcitation of electrons.

- Light intensity and wavelength (photon energy)
- Material reflectivity
- Light penetration depth

2. <u>Transport</u> of photo-excited electrons to the surface

- Electron energy
- Scattering rates (relaxation times)

3. Emission probability

- For metals: Chemical potential and work function
- For semiconductors: barrier height and band gap
 - Electron affinity measured from the conduction band minimum

Three step photoemission in NEA-GaAs (Spicer model, 1958)*



* Still not fully understood

Quantum Efficiency

• Generically the quantum efficiency is defined as the ratio of emitted electrons to incident photons.

$$QE = \frac{N_{electrons}}{N_{photons}}$$





Quantum Efficiency (slide courtesy of Dr. K. Jensen, Naval Research Laboratory)

In Metals, QE is dominated by the energy difference between the incident photon and the work function.

$$QE = (1 - R(\omega))F_{\omega}(\delta, \tau) \left[P(h\omega) \propto (h\omega - \phi)^{2} \right]$$

Modified Fowler-Dubridge Model for Metals

In Semiconductors, • QE is dominated by the energy difference between the incident photon and the band gap.

$$QE \approx \frac{B}{1 + g \left[h\omega - \left(E_g + E_a \right) \right]^S}$$

Spicer's three Step Model for semiconductors Eg = Energy gap between bottom of conduction band and top of valence band

Ea = Energy gap between bottom of conduction band and vacuum level. This is the effective electron affinity

- B = escape X transport term
- g = abosrption factor
- s = semi-empirical, argued 3/2.

In practical terms, this is the QE equation

$$QE(\%) = \frac{124}{\lambda_{laser}} \cdot \frac{I}{P_{laser}}$$

A = laser wavelength (hm)
P = (mW)
I = Photo-current (μA)
124 = Constant. Hint! Derive this constant.

QE is low in metals (<0.003%) because of electron-electron scattering in the conduction band

QE in semiconductors is typically 1-10% because scattering is between electrons and phonons, i.e. electrons thermalize with the lattice

Practicalities

- The choice of a particular emission mechanism and associated cathode is mainly driven by the electron beam requirements, specified by the device/accelerator, and by the technical constraints of the electron source as a whole system.
- There is no single source that can meet the requirements for all applications.
- Let's explore an electron source of a particle accelerator.



Exploring the Nature of Matter



The "C" in CEBAF



CEBAF's First Electron Source

- Make beam by running current through the filament biased at 100kV
- Use "grid" to turn beam ON/OFF, i.e., create machine-safe macropulses
- Apertures to improve emittance
- Use RF "chopper" to create RF structure



Photo Finish, but at 2 billionths of a second !!!

DC beam, not so useful for RF acceleration



Beam of Bunches!





What about the probing with spin ?



Electron Bunch Spin & Polarization

People with very different opinions

Light: a preference for the electric field vector to be oriented a certain way Electrons: a preference for electrons to spin in one direction



J. Grames - JLab Summer Detector Series, July 7, 2008

Parity Violation Experiments at CEBAF

Experiment	Energy (GeV)	Ι (μΑ)	Target	A _{pv} (ppb)	Maximum Charge Asym (ppb)	Maximum Position Diff (nm)	Maximum Angle Diff (nrad)	Maximum Size Diff (δσ/σ)
HAPPEx-II (Achieved)	3.0	55	¹ H (20 cm)	1400	400	1	0.2	Was not specified
HAPPEx-III (Achieved)	3.484	100	¹ H (25 cm)	16900	200±100	3±3	0.5±0.1	10 ⁻³
PREx	1.063	70	²⁰⁸ Pb (0.5 mm)	500	100±10	2±1	0.3±0.1	10-4
QWeak	1.162	180	¹ H (35 cm)	234	100±10	2±1	30±3	10-4
Møller	11.0	75	¹ H (150 cm)	35.6	10±10	0.5±0.5	0.05±0.05	10-4

PV experiments motivate polarized e-source R&D

Jefferson Lab



What does "234 ppb" even mean?





Self-Polarization: Sokolov-Ternov Effect

- Electrons (positrons) self-polarize in storage rings due to spin-flip synchrotron radiation: one spin state dominates the other
- First observed @ VEPP-2 Ring at Budker Institute, Novosibirsk, 1971
- Requires spin rotation (Siberian Snake) to create longitudinal polarization at target & depolarizing resonances must be avoided
- Process is slow, need storage lifetime to be longer than selfpolarization time & happy to end up with ~70% polarization



What if you need a Direct Source of Polarized Electrons?

TABLE III. (Comparison of	some sources of	f spin-	polarized	electrons
--------------	---------------	-----------------	---------	-----------	-----------

			Reversal	Idc		E	ΔE	Н		
Method	Ref.	P	of P	(A)	I_{pulse}	(eV)	(eV)	(kO e)	Emittance	Brightness
1. Photoemission from NEA GaAs	3	0.40	$\Delta \vec{L}$	10 ⁻⁶ [10 ⁻³]	$[10^{12} \text{ electrons}/$ 1.5 $\mu \text{sec}]$	0.2	0.2	0	2 mrad- cm at 1 eV	very high
2. Photoemission from EuO	27	0.61 [0.80]	ΔĦ	10-6	3×10^9 electrons/ 1.5 μ sec	2	2	21 [30]		medium
 Photoionization of polarized Li atomic beam 	53	0.76	$\Delta \vec{H}$		3×10^9 electrons/ 1.5 μ sec		1500	0.2	7 mrad– cm at 70 keV	medium
4. Fano effect, photoionization of Cs atoms	55	0.90	ΔĹ		3×10^9 electrons/ 0.5 μ sec		500	0	0.6 mrad-cm at 115 keV	high
5. Optically pumped He discharge	56	0.30	$\Delta \vec{L}$	10 ⁻⁶	·	500 [30]	0.5	0	10 mrad- cm at 500 eV	high
6. Field emission (EuS)	57	0.89	ΔĦ	$[10^{-6}]$			0.1	2 - 20		very high
7. Electron scattering from Hg atomic beam	58	0.27	$\Delta \theta$	2×10 ⁻⁸		7	0.2	0		medium
8. Electron scattering from W	62	0.40	$\Delta \theta, \Delta E$	5×10 ⁻⁸ [10 ⁻⁴]		80	0.2	0		high

GaAs....the method that caught on

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 13, NUMBER 12

15 JUNE 1976

Photoemission of spin-polarized electrons from GaAs

Daniel T. Pierce* and Felix Meier

Laboratorium für Festkörperphysik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, CH 8049, Zürich, Switzerland (Received 10 February 1976)

The spin polarization of electrons photoemitted from (110) GaAs by irradiating with circularly polarized light of energy $1.5 < h\omega < 3.6$ eV was measured by Mott scattering. The GaAs surface was treated with cesium and oxygen to obtain a negative electron affinity (NEA). The spectrum of spin polarization $P(h\omega)$ exhibits a peak (P = 40%) at threshold arising from transitions at Γ , and positive (P = 8%) and negative (P = -8%) peaks at 3.0 and 3.2 eV, respectively, arising from transitions at L (A). Anomalous behavior, consisting of a depolarization at threshold and an increase and shift in the peak polarization to 54% at 1.7 eV, is attributed to a small positive electron affinity (PEA) characteristic of some samples. Restriction of the photoelectron emission angle by the PEA leads directly to the anomalously high P. Results of calculations show that P cannot be increased above 50% for emission arising from transitions at Γ in NEA GaAs. Our detailed interpretation of the spectra indicates how spin-polarized photoemission can be used to study the spindependent aspects of electronic structure. The outstanding qualities of NEA GaAs as a source of spinpolarized electrons are discussed and compared with other sources.

GaAs Energy Levels

First proposed by Garwin, Pierce, Siegmann and Lampel and Weisbuch



- Energy versus momentum
- GaAs is a "Direct" transition semiconductor
- Valence band P-state split due to spin-orbit coupling
- m, quantum numbers describe electron's spin and orbital angular momentum
- Quantum mechanical selection rules dictate Δm_i =+/-1 for absorption of circularly polarized light
- Clebsch-Gordon coefficients indicate the relative likelihood of transitions between states

Pierce-Meier Apparatus

PHOTOEMISSION



First Observation of Polarization





Pierce and Meier, Phys. Rev. B, 13, 5484 (1976)

- Maximum polarization not 50%
- Note interesting non-zero polarization sub-peaks at 3.0eV and 3.2eV
- Flip the sign of polarization by flipping the polarity of the light



First High Voltage GaAs Photogun

Polarized e- Gun for SLAC Parity Violation Experiment



Started with a thermionic gun housing?

First GaAs Photoinjector

- Built for SLAC parity-violation experiment E122
- Polarized electrons accelerated December, 1977
- E122 announces parity violation June, 1978 an important verification of the Standard Model



Typical bulk GaAs Result



•QE at bandgap (i.e., where you get highest polarization) can be 10% or more

Pablo Saez, PhD Thesis, SLAC Report 501, 1997

Depolarization Mechanisms



Time scales for these depolarization processes are roughly equal to the lifetime of the electron in the conduction band, ~ 200ps. Therefore, it is very important to get the polarized electrons out of the material as quickly as possible

- BAP Process: the exchange interaction between electrons and holes (after G. L. Bir, A. G. Aronov and G. E. Picus)
- DP Process: the dynamic narrowing of the magnetic resonance in spin– orbit split–off conduction bands (after M. I. Dyakonov and V. I. Perel)
- EY process in which the spin-orbit interaction generates non-pure spin states in the conduction band (after R. J. Elliot and Y. Yafet)
- Radiation Trapping, where recombination radiation is reabsorbed producing unpolarized photoemission

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 16, NUMBER 2

15 JULY 1977

Spin relaxation of photoelectrons in p-type gallium arsenide

Guy Fishman de Physique des Solides[•] de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, Université Paris VII, 75221 Paris Cédex 05, France

Georges Lampel

Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée,[†] Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cédex, France (Received 25 January 1977)

What limits polarization?



P^e_{obs}	=	$\frac{\tau_{spin}}{\tau_{spin} + \tau_{life}} P_0^e \text{where:} $
P^e_{obs}		the observed spin polarization;
P_0^e	=	the spin polarization before relaxation;
$ au_{spin}$	=	the spin relaxation time; and
τ_{life}	=	the lifetime of conduction band electrons.

G. Fishman and G. Lampel, Phys Rev. B16, 820 (1977)

Polarization lost as electrons diffuse to the surface: thin samples provide higher polarization, at expense of QE



7 - 30

Hard to keep the GaAs sample from shattering

Eliminate degeneracy of P_{3/2} state via "Interface Stress Method"



Image from Pablo Saez, PhD Thesis, Stanford University, SLAC Report 501, 1997

Lattice mismatch provides stress



Pablo Saez, PhD Thesis, SLAC Report 501, 1997

- The band gap of the substrate layer must be larger than surface layer
- Lattice constants must differ enough to introduce suitable strain
- Adjust lattice constant of substrate by varying concentration of third element

$$\delta_s = 6.5 \left(\frac{\Delta a}{a_0}\right) \ (eV)$$

1% lattice mismatch provides equivalent force as hydraulic press!

Strained-layer GaAs



Manufactured by Bandwidth Semiconductor

First Strained GaAs Result



 $In_xGa_{1-x}As$ grown on GaAs substrate (x = 0.13) Maruyama et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 66, 2376 (1991)

Getting the Recipe Right

- Choice of Surface layer
- Choice of Substrate layer
- Tensile vs compressive strain?
- What is correct lattice mismatch?
- How thick to make the active layer?





- AI = Aluminium
- Ga = Gallium
- In = Indium
- N = Nitrogen
- P = Phosphorus
- As = Arsenic
- Sb = Antimony

Getting the Recipe Right



g. 2. Strain dependence of the maximum polarization

Thickness can be 10x greater than t_c
Band splitting needs to be > 30 meV

Aoyagi, Nakanishi, et.al., Division of Physics Nagoya University Tech Note 93-14

Higher Polarizations Followed

GaAs grown on top of GaAs_{1-x}P_x substrate GaAs thickness ~ 0.1 um and x = 0.29, lattice mismatch ~ 1% This became the standard SPIN Polarizer wafer sold by SPIRE, now Bandwidth Semiconductor



Maruyama et al., Phys. Rev. B., 46, 4261 (1991)

Strained-layer GaAs



Manufactured by Bandwidth Semiconductor

Higher P, Higher QE?

- Problem: Strained layers start relaxing beyond thickness ~10nm. Strained layer practical limit ~100nm
 - \succ Strain relaxation \rightarrow Lower polarization
 - \succ Thin layer \rightarrow Lower QE
- So how to get Higher Polarization and Higher QE?
- Solution: Use many thin strained layers –
 Strained Superlattice Photocathode...

Strained Superlattice Photocathode

Electrons tunnel through very thin buffer layers!!

Slide courtesy Toru Ujihara, PESP 2008



Getting the Recipe Right



From Aaron Moy, SVT Assoc and SLAC, PESP2002



Higher Polarization AND Higher QE

- MBE-grown epitaxial spin-polarizer wafer
- Pol ~ 85% at ~ 780nm
 QE ~ 1%
- Available from SVT Associates
- 2" dia. wafer ~ 10k\$
- Developed via DOE-SBIR program



D. Luh et al, SLAC, PESP2002

Significant FOM Improvement

HAPPEx-II 2004 run Compton Polarimetry



 $FOM \ Improvement = \frac{P_{ssl}^2 I}{P_{sl}^2 I} = 1.38$

This means it takes less time to do an experiment with same level of statistical accuracy

Still Tweaking the Recipe

III-V Compound Semiconductors



Still looking for combinations that provide Higher Polarization, **Higher QE**, more rugged lifetime





Internal Gradient Strained-Superlattice

- Photocathode active layers with internal accelerating field
- Internal field enhances electron emission for higher QE
- Less transport time also reduces
 depolarization mechanisms
- Gradient created by varied alloy composition or dopant profile





Courtesy Aaron Moy of SVT Associates

Internal Gradient GaAs/AlGaAs SLs

- Polarization decreased as aluminum gradient increased
- Due to less low LH-HH splitting at low aluminum %
- QE increased 25% due to internal gradient field
- Peak polarization of 70 % at 740 nm, shorter than 875 nm of GaAs





DBR – Equipped Crystal

For instance, talk by L. Gerchikov, St. Petersburg, at PESP 2007



Index of refraction of GaAs is such that, 30% of incident light lost at surface. Not sure we can do anything about that.

Add a Distributed Bragg Reflector behind photocathode to reflect back the un-absorbed light...

Resonant enhancement of QE



Accepted for publication at Semiconductors, 2008

Leonid Gerchikov, PESP2008