Toroidal merger simulations for the JLEIC bunched beam electron cooler ring*

A. Sy, Jefferson Lab, Newport News, VA 23606 amysy@jlab.org

Abstract

The bunched beam electron cooler ring for the Jefferson Lab Electron-Ion Collider (JLEIC) requires a merger system to transport magnetized electron beams of two different energies to the same energy recovery linac (ERL) beamline. The system is especially challenging compared to existing mergers for ERL or hadron cooling applications (as at COSY) due to the small separation in energy between the two beams; for the JLEIC bunched beam cooler, the two beam energies may only differ by a factor of 4. An additional complication is the use of a magnetized beam. A toroidal merger system is studied using G4Beamline/GEANT4. Preservation of the quality of the low energy beam from the injector is especially efficient cooling performance and vital for compatibility with the ERL. Effects of the toroidal system on transverse and longitudinal emittances of the magnetized beams, as well as space charge effects, are presented and discussed.



*see MOPMK015

Provides 20-55 MeV/c magnetized electrons for hadron cooling

THPAK127

- Energy recover the cooling electron beam
- Needs to merge low energy beam from injector and high energy beam returning from cooler into same ERL beamline
- Electron bunch charge as high as 3.2 nC

Injector merger schematic



Magnetized beam distribution

Flat beam transform used to generate magnetized beam distribution



Magnetized Beam Exchange (side) dipole injector

Simulation setup

- Simulations in G4Beamline/GEANT4
- Toroidal merger to preserve magnetization of beams
- Ideal sector bend field superimposed on toroidal field region
- Tilt individual solenoid coils about local x, y axes for additional transverse fields

Radius of curvature 1 m, 45 degree bend in horizontal plane

- Front solenoid coils with radius 13 cm
- Back solenoid coils with radius 26 cm

		p=5 MeV/c	p=20 MeV/c
	B _z [T]	0.1	0.1
	ρ _{Larmor} [mm]	166.78	667.13
	β [mm]	333.56	1334.25
	ε _x (geom) [mm-mrad]	20	5.1
	ε _y (geom) [mm-mrad]	0.2	0.05

Matched solenoid parameters



Low energy beam

Fields on reference trajectory for low

injector

p=20 MeV/c

- Preservation of low energy beam quality is crucial to cooling performance
- Growth of Larmor emittance especially should be avoided
- Evaluate Larmor emittance by looking at vertical emittance after round-to-flat beam conversion
- Space charge effects included with G4Beamline module – uses Lienart-Wiechert potentials



s [m]

Beam parameters after transport through toroidal merger

p=5 MeV/c	Generated	Exit, No SC	Exit, 3.2 nC
σ _x [mm]	2.58	2.36	2.41
σ _x , [mrad]	7.74	6.03	6.15
ε _x (geom) [mm-mrad]	20	14.25	14.82
σ _y [mm]	0.26	0.34	0.37
σ _y , [mrad]	0.77	1.08	1.12
ε _y (geom) [mm-mrad]	0.2	0.37	0.41
σ_{t} [ns]	3.33e-2	3.62e-2	3.61e-2
$\sigma_{dp/p}$	1e-3	1.02e-3	2.88e-3



- of toroidal merger
- Injected in region of high transverse magnetic field
- Larger coils in second half of merger to accommodate high energy beam
- Space charge effects not an issue even for bunch charge of 3.2 nC, negligible additional growth of transverse or longitudinal emittance

Fields on reference trajectory for high



Beam parameters after transport through toroidal merger

p=20 MeV/c	Generated	Exit, no SC	Exit, 3.2 nC
σ _x [mm]	2.61	2.39	2.39
σ _x , [mrad]	1.96	3.13	3.14
ε _x (geom) [mm-mrad]	5.1	7.5	7.5
σ_{y} [mm]	0.26	1.33	1.33
σ _y , [mrad]	0.2	0.4	0.4
ε _y (geom) [mm-mrad]	0.05	0.52	0.53
σ_{t} [ns]	3.33e-2	3.2e-2	3.2e-2
$\sigma_{dp/p}$	1e-3	9.76e-4	1.06e-3

0.03 Entrance 0.025 Exi 0.02 0.015 δp/p 0.01 0.005 -0.005 -0.5 0.5 t [ns]

Energy spread of low energy beam with space charge effects enabled

Results

- With space charge effects included, horizontal focusing effect in x, 2x growth in vertical emittance for low energy beam
- 3x growth in energy spread for 3.2 nC bunch charge
- High energy beam has emittance growth in both horizontal and vertical planes, over 10x for vertical emittance
- May be an issue for energy recoverability of the high energy beam

Future work

- Include more realistic bunch distribution from injector region; possibly less bunch charge
- Explore ways to reduce the transverse fields seen by the high energy beam

*Authored by Jefferson Science Associates, LLC under U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177.





